

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,188	11/29/2001	Scott Jorgensen	jorgensen	1033	
26496 7	590 03/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	G & LIEBERMAN		ZURITA, JAMES H		
314 PHILADELPHIA AVE. TAKOMA PARK, MD 20912			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3625		
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(
7		Application No.	Applicant(s)	^				
'	Office Assista Summers	09/683,188	JORGENSEN, SCOT	.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	James H Zurita	3625					
Per	riod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			;33 ···				
	 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Sta	itus .			•				
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No.	ovember 2001.						
2	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dis	position of Claims							
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration	,					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Аp	plication Papers							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
•	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form PTO-	-152.				
Pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•						
_	1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			age				
	application from the International Bureau			-9-				
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies	not received.					
Atta	chment(s)							
1) [=		iew Summary (PTO-413)					
2) L 3) [Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other	-	•				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to merchandising, classified in class 186, subclass 52.
- II. Claims 8-11, drawn to e-commerce, classified in class 705, subclass 26.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention I requires (a) displaying furniture in an environment where said furniture is employed as furniture, (b) directing customers to visit the environment where said furniture is employed as furniture.

The subcombination has separate utility such as entities negotiating relationships with the sales entities and the producing entities for requests, purchases, payments, terms, expectations, or training.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant chooses *Invention I* the applicant must select one of the following species:

Art Unit: 3625

I.a 1, 2 I.b 1, 3 I.c 1, 4 I.d 15 I.e 1, 6 I.f 1, 7

If applicant chooses *Invention II* the applicant must select one of the following species:

II.a 8, 9 II.b 8, 10 II.c 8, 11

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

A reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 09/683,188 Page 4

Art Unit: 3625

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A complete reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even through the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H Zurita whose telephone number is 703-605-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on 8a-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Zurita
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3625
05 March 2005

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600